August 2001

**California Environmental Protection Agency** 



- The EPA's heavy-duty diesel fuel analysis program seeks to quantify the air pollution emission effects of diesel fuel parameters on various non-road and highway heavy-duty diesel engines.
- The program examines the HC, NOx, and PM emission impacts of diesel fuel parameters including, but not limited to, parameters as cetane number, aromatics content, and fuel density.

- The Air Resources Board appreciates the opportunity to review and provide input to Heavy-duty Diesel Fuel Analysis Program.
- The Air Resources Board supports the use of cleaner fuels as a strategy to achieve emission reductions.

### California Diesel Fuel Program

- Adopted in 1988.
- Implemented October 1993.
- Adopted regulations for sulfur and aromatics.
- The regulations allow fuel producers to develop an alternative diesel formulation if they demonstrate that their alternative diesel formulation would produce the same or lower emissions than the 10% aromatic reference fuel.
- Almost all refiners have taken advantage of this provision to lower their diesel fuel production costs.

## Comparison of Current Federal and California Diesel Specifications

Property	California	Federal
Sulfur	500 ppm	500 ppm
Aromatic Hydrocarbons		
Large Refiners	10 Vol. %	
Small Refiners	20 Vol. %	

#### Applicability

- California: on- and off-road vehicles
- Federal: on-road vehicles only

# California Diesel Program Benefits<sup>a</sup> (tons/day)

Pollutant	Federal	California
$SO_2$	60	80 (80%)
PM (Directly Emitted)	4	20 <sup>b</sup> (25%)
$NO_X$	0	70 (7%)

- <sup>a</sup> Calculated for 1995 Inventory
- b Includes hazardous pollutant benefits from reduced PM.

## Average Specifications of Reformulated Diesel Fuel

	California		U.S. <sup>(1)</sup>
Specification	Pre-1993	1999	1999
Aromatics, vol%	35	22	35
Sulfur, ppmw	440(2)	$110^{(3)}$	360
Cetane No.	43	52	45
PNA		3	
Nitrogen		150	110

- 1. AAMA National Fuel Surveys
- 2. For Los Angeles area (Greater than 3000 ppm in rest of California)
- 3. About 10 % of total California volume is < 15 ppmw

- Assembled a large and useful database.
- Model emissions response as a Mixed Effects Linear Model.
- Percent change should be used.
- Southwest Research Institute Report is well written and clear.

#### Mixed Effects Models

Terms	Effects	Source of Variation
Fuel Properties	Fixed	Low
Engines	Random	High
Fuel Properties by Engine	Random	High to Medium

- Concerns include:
  - No natural cetane effect.
  - How to model technology by fuel parameter terms with only a few observations.
  - How to weight individual technology groups.
  - How to limit over fit Information Criteria or Cross-Validation.
  - Stakeholder review of the dataset.
  - Lack of a validation data set.

- Many different models can be developed with about the same fit.
  - Model should not be overly complicated.
  - Model should be usable.
  - Model should be compared to other models.
  - Model should be validated.
  - Uncertainty estimates should be included

- While Texas has adopted the California Diesel Program, California refineries are different than Texas refineries (CaRFG2, CaRFG3, CNG, etc.).
- Some important fuel properties, that play a significant role in the modeling, are not controlled under the Texas regulations.
- Almost all diesel produced in California is consumed in California.
- Benefits may not be the same as for California, such as different baselines.

- ARB staff supports the U.S. EPA efforts to develop a useful model.
- The ARB staff appreciates the opportunity to comment and provide input.